# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				·
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,212	09/11/2003	William R. Belcourt	22873	6546
7590 03/26/2007 Vaughn W. North THORPE NORTH & WESTERN, LLP			EXAMINER	
			WUJCIAK, ALFRED J	
P.O. Box 1219 Sandy, UT 840			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
J			3632	
			·	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MO	NTHS	03/26/2007	PAF	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Application No.  10/660,212  Examiner  Ail Unit  Art Un
Examiner Alfred Joseph Wujciak III  3632
Alfred Joseph Wujciak III 3632  - The MA/LING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  - If the pende for reply specified above is been thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is apecified above in been statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended pende for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDOREAU S.C. § 133.  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any general patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 21.24-27.30 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 21.24-27.30 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 21.24-27.30 and 31 is/are rejected.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s)
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after St (s) (MONTH'S tom the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the station minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (30) days, a reply within the station minimum of thiny (30) days will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thints are the specified in the period of the period of reply within the station of the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the sci or extended period for reply will be specified to be become ABANDONED st. 3C, 6, 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) ★ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2006.  2a) ★ This action is FINAL.  2b) ★ This action is non-final.  3) ★ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ★ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) ★ sizer withdrawn from consideration.  5) ★ Claim(s) 1-20 and 33 is/are allowed.  6 ★ Claim(s) 2.2.23.28.29 and 33 is/are elected.  7) ★ Claim(s) 2.2.23.28.29 and 33 is/are elected.  8) ★ Claim(s) 2.2.23.28.29 and 33 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 2.2.23.28.29 and 33 is/are allowed.  Application Papers  9) ★ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: allow accepted or bl
A SHORTEND STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 51% (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 13% (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutor, period will apply and will expire 13% (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (39 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Cifice later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2006.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/le, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-20 and 33 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 21.24-27.30 and 31 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 22.32.829 and 32 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of think (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above is less than think (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of think (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - This action is FINAL.  - This action is FINAL.  - This action is FINAL.  - Status  - Status  - This action is FINAL.  - Status  - Application of Claims  - Application Papers  - Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
1)
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 and 33 is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 21.24-27,30 and 31 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 22.23.28.29 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 and 33 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21,24-27,30 and 31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 22,23,28,29 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 1-20 and 33 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 21,24-27,30 and 31 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 22,23,28,29 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-20 and 33 is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 21,24-27,30 and 31 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 22,23,28,29 and 32 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
4)  Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-20 and 33 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 21,24-27,30 and 31 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 22,23,28,29 and 32 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
4)  Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 1-20 and 33 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 21,24-27,30 and 31 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 22,23,28,29 and 32 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 and 33 is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 21,24-27,30 and 31 is/are rejected.  7) ☒ Claim(s) 22,23,28,29 and 32 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
5) □ Claim(s) 1-20 and 33 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 21,24-27,30 and 31 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) 22,23,28,29 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
7) ☐ Claim(s) 22,23,28,29 and 32 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
<ul> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119</li> </ul>
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/660,212

Art Unit: 3632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the non-final Office Action for the serial number 10/660,212, ICE SCREW HAVING BREAKAWAY OR FLEXING CRANK HANDLE, filed on 9/11/03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 23 recites the limitation "sleeve" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In this office action, the examiner is assuming claim 23 depends on claim 22 instead of claim 21.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21, 25-27 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent # 1,111,489 to Palmer.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,212 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

Palmer teaches a flexing crank handle comprising a crank support means (35) and a flexing member operable with the crank support means. The crank support means comprises a rigid rod (36) that pivotally attaches to the hanger. The flexing member comprises a compression/spiral/internal coil spring.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palmer.

Palmer teaches the crank support means but fails to teach the crank support means is a flexible member. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified crank support means material to flexible to increase life cycle of crank support means after a long period of applying load thereon when cranking the crank support means.

In regards to claim 30, Palmer teaches the spring but fails to teach the spring is wire torsion spring. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced spring with wire torsion spring to increase stiffness in the spring.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-23, 28-29 and 32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-20 and 33 are allowed.

In regard to claims 1-20 and 33, the prior art fails to teach the flexing crank handle coupled to the hanger and functioning as a crank arm for screwing the ice screw into the body of ice and the flexing crank handle being configured to displace by flex from a resting position to a plurality of flexed positions in response to a load induced thereon, and to automatically return to the resting position without the need for manual manipulation. In regard to claims 22-23, the prior art fails to teach a sleeve coupled to the flexing member at an end opposite that attached to the hanger. In regards to claim 28, the prior art fails to teach the flexing member comprises complimentary solid height coil springs attached opposite one another on the hanger. In regards to claim 29, the flexing member comprises a solid height coil spring attached within a recess formed in the hanger.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Joseph Wujciak III whose telephone number is (571) 272-6827. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on (571) 272-6815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,212

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alfred Joseph Wujciak III Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

3/21/07

A. JOSEPH WUJCIAK III PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER Page 5